



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJEMT_7080
Title of the Manuscript:	Measuring the Regional Variations in Educational Attainment and Inequality in Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The article is interesting and it focuses on an element that plays an important role in the development of a country. The author used the appropriate technique and the data are also representative since they are based on a survey conducted by the Wold Bank. The article is well constructed and the results are well presented. However, the author could have put some figures showing the differences in the level of education according to the sectors.	This information has been provided in table three (3).
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	There are many typing mistakes such as: Row 23 socialisation and his not socialisationandhis Row 30 various groups and his not variousgroups Row 55 its growth and his not itsgrowth etc...	Noted
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The article is good and able to be published after the minor corrections.	Thanks